Tools for Practice is proudly sponsored by the Alberta College of Family Physicians (ACFP). ACFP is a provincial, professional voluntary organization, representing more than 4,600 family physicians, family medicine residents, and medical students in Alberta. Established over sixty years ago, the ACFP strives for excellence in family practice through advocacy, continuing medical education and primary care research. <u>www.acfp.ca</u>

October 16, 2017



Early Peanuts for Little Peanuts: The not so paltry benefits

Clinical Question: Does early peanut introduction in infancy influence the development of peanut allergy?

Bottom Line: Early peanut introduction reduces the risk of developing peanut allergy in high-risk infants from 17% to 3% at five years. Normal risk infants may also benefit. Since 9% of highrisk infants were excluded due to a positive baseline skin prick test (SPT), it may be reasonable to investigate those at highest risk prior to exposure.

Evidence:

- Randomized Controlled Trials:
 - 640 high-risk infants (severe eczema, egg allergy, or both) aged 4-11 months, randomized to consumption (6 g peanuts/week) or avoidance.¹ At five years:
 - Positive oral food challenge to peanuts: 3.2% consumption versus 17.2% avoidance, Number Needed to Treat=8.
 - Harms: Consumption group underwent baseline food challenge, 7/319 infants reacted, (six required antihistamine, one oral steroids). At five years, one child in avoidance group required epinephrine following oral food challenge.
 - Limitations: No placebo, infants excluded if SPT >4 mm (9% of infants).
 - Normal-risk, breastfed infants (n=1,303) aged three months randomized to early introduction of six allergens (example 2 g peanuts/week) or avoidance of allergenic foods before six months.²
 - At 1-3 years of age, no significant difference in positive oral food challenge:
 Peanuts: 1.2% early versus 2.5% avoidance.
 - Limitations: Complex protocol led to significant difference in adherence (43% early versus 93% avoidance); excluded infants with peanut sensitization (SPT >0 mm).
- Observational study:
 - Newborns (n=2,124) followed to examine food introduction timing and sensitization.³ Peanut avoidance during first year increased risk for:
 - Peanut sensitization (SPT >2 mm): Odds Ratio 1.76 (1.07-3.01).
 - Limitations: Potential recall bias, confirmatory oral food challenges not done.

Context:

- Early exposure hypothesis came from the 10x lower risk of peanut allergy among Israeli compared to UK children. Israeli children had greater intake of peanuts during infancy (7.1 g/month versus 0 g/month).⁴
- Large cohort study (10,907 participants) suggested a lower risk of peanut allergy in offspring of non-allergenic mothers who had increased peanut consumption during pregnancy, ≥5 times per weeks versus <1 per month, Odds Ratio 0.31 (0.13-0.75).⁵
- Guidelines recommend not restricting maternal diet or delaying food allergen introduction in high-risk infants.^{6,7}

Authors:

Danielle Perry BScN, Christina Korownyk MD CCFP

Disclosure:

Authors do not have any conflicts of interest to declare.

References:

- 1. Du Toit G, Roberts G, Sayre PH, et al. N Engl J Med. 2015; 372(9):803-13.
- 2. Perkin MR, Logan K, Tseng A, et al. N Engl J Med. 2016; 374(18):1733-43.
- 3. Tran MM, Lefebvre DL, Dai D, et al. Pediatr Allergy Immunol. 2017; 28(5):471-7.
- 4. Du Toit G, Zadik-Mnuhin G, Amir T, *et al.* J Allergy Clin Immunol. 2008; 122(5):984-91.
- 5. Frazier AL, Camargo CJ, Malspeis S, et al. JAMA Pediatr. 2014; 168(2):156-62.
- 6. Fleischer D, Sicherer S, Greenhawt M, et al. Pediatr Dermatol. 2016; 33(1):103-6.
- 7. Chan E, Cummings C. Paediatr Child Health. 2013; 18(10):545-54.

Tools for Practice is a biweekly article summarizing medical evidence with a focus on topical issues and practice modifying information. It is coordinated by G. Michael Allan, MD, CCFP and the content is written by practising family physicians who are joined occasionally by a health professional from another medical specialty or health discipline. Each article is peer-reviewed, ensuring it maintains a high standard of quality, accuracy, and academic integrity. If you are not a member of the ACFP and would like to receive the TFP emails, please sign up for the distribution list at http://bit.ly/signupfortfps. Archived articles are available on the ACFP website.

This communication reflects the opinion of the authors and does not necessarily mirror the perspective and policy of the Alberta College of Family Physicians.