Tools for Practice is proudly sponsored by the Alberta College of Family Physicians (ACFP). ACFP is a provincial, professional voluntary organization, representing more than 4,000 family physicians, family medicine residents and medical students in Alberta. Established over fifty years ago, the ACFP strives for excellence in family practice through advocacy, continuing medical education and primary care research. www.acfp.ca

July 21, 2014



Glucosamine: Naturally effective?

Clinical Question: Does glucosamine improve pain and function in osteoarthritis?

Bottom Line: Multiple meta-analyses indicate that glucosamine does not reliably improve pain or function in osteoarthritis. Any suggestion of benefit with certain formulations is at high risk of bias associated with low quality studies, industry funding, and selective reporting/publication.

Evidence:

- There are at least 20 systematic reviews and meta-analyses of glucosamine for osteoarthritis (mostly knee, mostly versus placebo). We focused on six from the last five years¹⁻⁶ plus the Cochrane review.⁷ Systematic reviews vary from two to 25 Randomized Controlled Trials (RCTs) with 414 to 4963 patients.
 - Most use Standard Mean Differences (SMD), a statistical tool to combine multiple 0 different scales. It has limited clinical utility.
 - Pain: Widely variable results, SMD -0.16 (non-significant) to -0.51 (significant).
 Some subgroups higher: ^{1-4,7} Rotta brand SMD -1.11 (significant).⁷
 - - In larger studies:¹ Change in pain scale is 0.4 out of 10.
 - Although statistically significant, clinically meaningful change is 0.9.
 - Function: Results vary with trial duration and assessment tool, SMD -0.08 (nonsignificant) to -0.54 (significant).^{2,7}
 - o Joint Space Narrowing:^{1,4-7} Results vary with only one result reaching clinical significance (>0.5mm)⁵ at 0.51mm less narrowing (more cartilage) than placebo.6
 - This is a surrogate marker.
 - Adverse effects: None.⁷
 - \cap Issues with evidence:
 - Industry funding significantly inflated effects,^{1,3,4} negative studies are likely unpublished,^{3,4} longer duration studies show less effect,² included studies have inconsistent results,^{2,3,4} higher quality studies show little/no effect,^{2,3,4,7} newer studies show less/no effect,⁴ and only certain brands/compounds are effective. 3,4,7

Context:

- Osteoarthritis is common with 13.9% of adults aged 25 years and older and 33.6% of those 65+ affected (US figure).⁸
- Glucosamine preparations are not regulated outside Europe and are available overthe-counter, which may result in various concentrations of the active ingredient.⁷
- Guideline recommendations are variable but generally not positive.⁹ Examples: The European League Against Rheumatism recommends glucosamine in knee osteoarthritis¹⁰ while the American College of Rheumatology and Osteoarthritis Research Society International do not recommend glucosamine.¹¹⁻¹²
- Approximate yearly cost of glucosamine is \$60 at 500mg TID.

Authors:

Kevin Haley MD, G Michael Allan MD CCFP

Disclosure:

Authors have no conflicts to disclose.

References:

- 1. Wandel S, Juni P, Tendal B, et al. BMJ. 2010; 341:c4675.
- 2. Wu D, Huang Y, Gu Y, et al. Int J Clin Pract. 2013; 67:585-94.
- 3. Eriksen P, Bartels EM, Altman RD, *et al.* Arthritis Care Res (Hoboken). 2014 Jun 6. [Epub ahead of print]
- 4. Zhang W, Nuki G, Moskowitz RW, *et al.* Osteoarthritis Cartilage. 2010; 18(4):476-99.
- 5. Lee YH, Woo JH, Choi SJ, et al. Rheumatol Int. 2010; 30(3):357-63.
- 6. Gallagher B, Tjoumakaris FP, Harwood MI, et al. Am J Sports Med. 2014 May 27. [Epub ahead of print]
- 7. Towheed T, Maxwell L, Anastassiades TP, *et al.* Cochrane Database Syst Rev. 2005; (2):CD002946.
- 8. Lawrence RC, Felson DT, Helmick CG, et al. Arthritis Rheum. 2008; 58(1):26-35.
- 9. Nelson AE, Allen KD, Golightly YM, *et al.* Semin Arthritis Rheum. 2014 Jun; 43(6):701-12.
- 10. Jordan KM, Arden NK, Doherty M, et al. Ann Rheum Dis. 2003; 62(12):1145-55.
- 11. McAlindon TE, Bannaru RR, Sullivan MC, *et al.* Osteoarthritis Cartilage. 2012; 22:363-88.
- 12. Jevsever D, Brown GA, Jones DL, *et al.* Treatment of Osteoarthritis of the Knee 2nd edition. 2013. Available at:

<u>http://www.aaos.org/research/guidelines/OAKSummaryofRecommendations.pdf</u>. Accessed 15 June 2014.

Tools for Practice is a biweekly article summarizing medical evidence with a focus on topical issues and practice modifying information. It is coordinated by G. Michael Allan, MD, CCFP and the content is written by practicing family physicians who are joined occasionally by a health professional from another medical specialty or health discipline. Each article is peer-reviewed, ensuring it maintains a high standard of quality, accuracy, and academic integrity. If you are not a member of the ACFP and would like to receive the TFP emails, please sign up for the distribution list at http://bit.ly/signupfortfp. Archived articles are available on the ACFP website.

This communication reflects the opinion of the authors and does not necessarily mirror the perspective and policy of the Alberta College of Family Physicians.